

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,233	•	11/26/2003	David Christenson	200308910-1	9137
22879	7590	05/05/2006		EXAM	INER
		CKARD COMPAN	LUU, THANH X		
), 3404 E. HARMON L PROPERTY ADM	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2878		
				DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,233	CHRISTENSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thanh X. Luu	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ag	<u>oril 2006</u> .					
•	, - .					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11,19-21,23-30 and 38-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11,23,27 and 38-41</u>	I is/are withdrawn from considera	ition.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-21 and 28-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendments and remarks filed April 18, 2006. Claims 1-11, 19-21, 23-30 and 38-41 are currently pending. Claims 1-11 and 23-27 are withdrawn. Claims 38-41 are further withdrawn, as set forth below. Thus, only claims 19-21 and 28-30 are examined below.

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 38-41 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 40 and 41 are drawn to an embodiment in which light is <u>reflected</u> and not refracted. Claims 38 and 39 now also includes a "reflecting" alternative.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 38-41 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2878

4. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 29 and 30, "said emitting of light" lacks proper antecedent basis. It is unclear in its given context how the emitting of light is related to the rest of the invention. Furthermore, it is unclear how the windows refract light when the lens already refracts the light. Since the scope of the invention cannot be ascertained, claims 29 and 30 have not been examined on their merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 19-21 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over West (U.S. Patent 6,124,589) in view of Takagi (U.S. Patent 5,539,519).

Regarding claims 19-21 and 28, West discloses (see Fig. 4) an apparatus comprising a first and a second plurality of sensors (at the end of 62, 68 and 70); and a light source (from 64) to emit light; a lens (gradient index lens at 66) having two areas with different refraction indices to refract the light in two or more directions, with the light to be sensed by the first and the second plurality of sensors after passing through a first window of an encoder track. That is, a gradient index lens inherently (by definition) has two areas of different refractive indices as claimed. As understood, the directions are

Art Unit: 2878

angular. West further discloses the detector 70 detecting a reference signal, but does not specifically disclose a second window of an index track. Takagi teaches (see Fig. 2) using a second window (1Z) on an index track to provide a reference or an index signal. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide another index track with a second window in the apparatus of West in view of Takagi to obtain the reference signal for proper incremental encoding.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2878

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thánh X Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

05/2006